

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,494	02/25/2004	Isidore I. Lamke	LUMA 7366D1	7294
1688 7.	590 06/28/2005		EXAMINER	
POLSTER, LIEDER, WOODRUFF & LUCCHESI 12412 POWERSCOURT DRIVE SUITE 200			TON, ANABEL	
	MO 63131-3615	, 200	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	2875	
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/787,494	LAMKE ET AL.	and		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Anabel M. Ton	2875			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence add	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rn. a reply within the statutory minimum of thinderiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed  by (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this contained the co	mmunication.		
Status		·			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12 April 2005.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	•	•	merits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 18-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 18-23 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and s	ndrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 1	miner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the control	•	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National S	Stage		
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO 	-152)		

Art Unit: 2875

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 18-23 under 35 USC 121 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Vilanilam et al.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vilanilam (5,821,695).
- 4. Vilanilam discloses the method of forming a lamp assembly except for the recitation of removing the lamp assembly from the mold. Vilanilam discloses providing a mold (40) having a predetermined shape corresponding to the desired shape of the lamp assembly, positioning a circuit board having a plurality of LED's mounted thereto in said mold (col. 3 lines 14-23), filling the mold with a flowable lens material and hardening the lens material (col. 4 lines 6-26), attaching electrical connection to the LED's (col. 3 lines 14-28, power is provided to the LEDs through terminal plates 52a, 52b and 52c which are connected circuit elements in the printed circuit board which are encapsulated in the flowable material, thus satisfying this limitation). It would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to remove the mold/lens jewel from the device of Vilanilam since it has been held that removal of feature from a device if not so desired is within the level of ordinary skill. See *In re Larson*, 340 F.2d 965, 144 USPQ 347 (CCPA 1965). Furthermore, it would have been reasonably within a level of ordinary skill in the art to keep the mold as a lens since Vilanilam teaches that the mold/jewel is purposeful to the device for optical and impact absorbing purposes.

- With regard to adding color pigment to the material, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add color to the flowable material of Vilanilam, since the courts have stated that matters relating to ornamentation only which have no mechanical function cannot be relied upon to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. *In re Seid*, 161 F.2d 229, 73 USPQ 431 (CCPA 1947). Furthermore, Vilanilam discloses the mold/jewel as optionally being provided with a desired color.
- With regards to the LED's being arranged in rows and columns, it would have been obvious tone of ordinary skill in the art to provide the device of Vilanilam with LED's arranged in rows and columns instead of the circular array as shown in figure 7, since such a distribution of light elements in a lamp assembly is old and well known in the art for a greater array of light emission (See Roney et al for teaching of LED's arrangement in a lighting device).
- With regards to at least one LED emitting light of a different color from the other
   LED's, it would have been obvious tone of ordinary skill in the art to provide the

Application/Control Number: 10/787,494

Art Unit: 2875

device of Vilanilam with at least one LED emitting a light color different from the other LED's since providing a lighting device with a function to initiate a select colored LED for a desired function in old and well known in the art for use in beacon lights of emergency lights (see cited art Deese for teaching).

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Roney et al and Deese.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anabel M. Ton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2382. The examiner can normally be reached on 08:00-16:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sandra O'Shea
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/787,494

Art Unit: 2875

Page 5

Anabel M Ton Examiner Art Unit 2875

AMT